

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
TYLER DIVISION**

FRED A. DIXON, JR., §
Plaintiff, §
v. § Case No. 6:23-cv-391-JDK-JDL
JILL P. COLEMAN, et al., §
Defendants. §

**ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Plaintiff Fred A. Dixon, Jr., a Texas Department of Criminal Justice inmate proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge John D. Love for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition.

On August 10, 2023, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that the Court dismiss this case with prejudice for purposes of *in forma pauperis* proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Docket No. 3. A copy of this Report was sent to Plaintiff. However, no objections have been received.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge *de novo* only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a *de novo* review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews the legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. *See United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), *cert. denied*, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 3) as the findings of this Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that this case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice for purposes of *in forma pauperis* proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Plaintiff may resume his lawsuit if he satisfies the following three conditions within fifteen days after the entry of the final judgment: (1) proof of satisfaction of the \$100.00 sanction imposed by the Northern District of Texas; (2) receipt of written permission to file a new lawsuit; and (3) payment of the full \$402.00 filing fee or proof that Plaintiff is in imminent danger of serious physical injury as of the time of the filing of the complaint.

Signed this
Oct 4, 2023



JEREMY D. KERNODEL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE